	Elec 30-2019-01	Gen Tronically Received by Superior Court of Califor \$40.00 pt \$40.00 pt \$	nerated by DynamicPDF Converter v3.04.0.42630 © ceTe Softwar rna County of Crange 12/04/2024 04:51:16 PM. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court By eClerk, Deputy Clerk.
11402855			
	1 2 3		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
	4		JAN 03 2025
	5		DAVID H. YAMASAKI, Clerk of the Court
	6		BY:,DEPUTY
	7		
	8	SUPERIOR COURT	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	9	COUNTY	OF ORANGE COUNTY
	10		
	11	VICTOR RAMIREZ, MOSTAFA GAD, and CARLOS MARTINEZ BANAGA,	Case No. 30-2019-01058127-CU-OE-CXC
	12	as individuals, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Assigned for all purposes to:
	13	Plaintiffs,	Hon. Judge Lon Hurwitz Dept. CX-103
	14	VS.	
	15 16	ST. GEORGE AUTO SALES, INC., a California corporation, ST. GEORGE	[FOURTHAMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER
	17	AUTO CENTER, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20 inclusive,	GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING JUDGMENT
	18	Defendants.	
	19	2 323,44,130	
	20		Date: November 1, 2024
	21		Time: 1:30 P.M. Dept: CX-103
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25 26		
	27		
	28		
		FOURTH AMENDED PROPOSEDI ORDE	R GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION

SETTLEMENT

7 8

This matter came on for hearing on November 1, 2024, at 1:30 p.m., in Department CX-103 of the above-captioned Court on the unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval filed November 14, 2023, and the Joint Stipulation of Class and Representative PAGA Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which was filed in conjunction with the Supplemental Declaration of Daniel E. Ishu ISO Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration of Daniel E. Ishu (Register of Action No. 669), the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement heard July 14, 2023 and September 15, 2023, and the instant Motion for Final Approval, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

1. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, a Notice Packet was sent to each Settlement Class Member by first-class mail. See Class Notice attached as Exhibit A. These papers informed the Settlement Class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive an Individual Settlement Payment, their right (a) to comment on or object to the Settlement, (b) to request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own remedies, and (c) of their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement (a right all class members will retain, regardless of whether they submit a written objections to the proposed settlement). Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No member of the Class filed written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing. No member of the Class filed written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing, and none of the 1,530 Class Members opted out of the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process. Additionally, Notice of the Final

Approval Order and Judgment shall be posted on the Settlement Administrator's website. The URL for the website shall be printed on the checks sent to Participating Class Members.

- 2. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Settlement Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Settlement Class. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 3. With respect to the Settlement Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of Class Representatives Mostafa Gad and Carlos Martinez Banaga are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representatives have fairly and adequately protected the interests of the members of the Settlement Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representatives, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiffs in their individual and representative capacities for the Class.
- 4. The Court has certified a Settlement Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement Agreement the 1,530 identified, current and former non-exempt employees who are or were employed by Defendants in California at any time during the Class Period of March 19, 2015 through December 31, 2022, excluding all officers, owners, members of Defendants or Defendants' related entities, and any and all members of the owners' family (e.g., members of the Bacily family), and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.765(a).
- The Court hereby confirms Aegis Law Firm, PC, and Bibiyan Law Group, P.C., as Class Counsel.

- 6. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Mostafa Gad ("Plaintiff Gad") and Plaintiff Carlos Martinez Banaga ("Plaintiff Banaga"), as the Class Representatives in this Action.
- Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms, having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that the Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.
- 8. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and to each Settlement Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
- 9. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments to be paid to participating Settlement Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$75,000.00, as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administrating the Settlement incurred by CPT Group, Inc., in the amount of \$11,250.00, are fair and reasonable.

The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount in accordance with the Settlement.

- 12. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Incentive Awards of up to \$5,000.00 for each Named Plaintiff (for a total of \$10,000.00) is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make these payments to the Plaintiffs / Class Representatives in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of \$745,850.79 and litigation costs of \$31,353.91. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. <u>In accordance with California Rule of Court 3.771(b), the Parties are ordered to give notice of this Judgment to all Class Members through the Settlement Administrator's website. A link to the website shall be included with all Class Members' checks.</u>
- a. <u>The Court hereby approves the terms of release, which releases</u>

 <u>Defendants as well as Defendants' officers, shareholders, directors, agents, clients, employees, attorneys, insurers, predecessors or successors. ("Released Parties").</u>
- 2. Named Plaintiff and Participating Class Members who do not opt out of the Settlement, release the Released Parties from any and all claims alleged, or that could have been alleged, in Named Plaintiff's Operative Complaint, based on the facts alleged, which arose during the Class Period, including but not limited to: (1) failure to pay minimum wages under Labor Code §§ 1194, 1194.2, 1197 and Industrial Welfare Commission Wage ("IWC") Order 4, § 3-4; (2) failure to pay overtime wages under Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 and IWC Order 4, § 3; (3) failure to authorize or provide meal periods under Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512 and IWC Order 4, § 11; (4) failure to authorize or permit rest periods under Labor Code §§ 226.7 and IWC Order 4, § 12; (5) failure to reimburse necessary business expenses under Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802; (6) failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements wage statement violations under Labor Code § 226 and IWC Order 4; (7) failure to pay wages

upon separation of employment and within the required time in violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203; (8) Unlawful deduction from wages; and (9) violation of California Business and Professions Code §§17200, et seq., based on the preceding claims. ("Released Claims") The release shall run through the Class Period against Released Parties.

- 15. Without affecting the finality of this order or the entry of judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.
- 16. Neither Defendants nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.
- Agreement constitutes an admission by Defendants, nor is this order a finding of the validity of any claims in this case or of any other wrongdoing. Further, the Settlement Agreement is not a concession, and shall not be used as an admission of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission of any entity or persons; nor may any action taken to carry out the terms of the Settlement Agreement be construed as an admission or concession by or against Defendants or any related person or entity.
- 18. Nothing in this order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Defendants make payment to the participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.
- Administrator will provide written certification of such completion to the Court and counsel for the Parties, including all information necessary for the Court to determine the total amount actually paid to Class Members and any amounts tendered to the State Controller's Office under Unclaimed Property law, which shall be filed with the Court fourteen (14) calendar days before the non-appearance compliance hearing set for December 20, 2025 at 1:30 p.m. [or on a later date that is convenient with the Court, on _______, 2025/2026 at _______ a.m. / p.m.], in Dept.

CX-103 of the above-entitled Court, located at 751 West Santa Ana Boulevard, Santa Ana, CA 92701.

- 20. The Court hereby enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement filed on November 14, 2023, and this Order.
- 21. The Parties will bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsels' Award for attorneys' fees and litigation costs.

JAN 0 3 2025 DATED:

Honorable Lon F. Hurwitz

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT